BIASES IN THINKING AND DECISION MAKIN

System 1 and System 2 thinking

Heuristics cognitive shortcuts or simplified strategies used by people.

· lead to cognitive biases.

Advantages:



save energy as there is no need to analyse all options meticulously .

" they are based of off experiences which might mean that if it has worked before, it will work again.

Daniel Kahneman in2003

y proposed an extension to the information - processing approach.

Videntified two independent systems - system land system 2.



SYSTEM2: · slower



·analytical ·logical

•rule.based · concious

System 1:

udeveloped as an adaptive reasoning mechanism which is based on prior experiences.

y enables us to make fast and sometimes resonable choices that have worked in the past.

System 2: y evolved later with the development of language & abstract resoning. y helps us analyse a situation in greater depth.



System I is used in majority of the situations but we switch to system 2 when

the situation is complicated or when we encounter difficulties.

The study of heuristics and biases are generally associated with system 2.

Common causes of intuitive thinking There is no universally accepted common causes of neunstics but some of the major factors are: the tendency to focus on a limited amount of information (framing effect and Assymmetric dominance)





the tendency to seek out information that confirms an individual's pre existing beliefs. cconfirmation bias, congruence bias, illusory correlations & implicit personality theory)

the tendency to avoid the mental stress of holding inconsistent cognitions (cognitive dissonance)





new info

The tendency to focus on a limited amount of available information.

- sensory memory has a high capacity but a limited duration: we cannot pay attention to all of the information around us.
- from the stimuli that we see [process, we only focus on one stimuli and process that indetail. This is called selective attention.



Asymmetric Dominance métricité present outorine

• This decoy effect is defined as the phenomenon where people change their preficance between 20ptions when a third option is presented (asymmetrically dominated decoy).

•Adding this asymmetrically dominated decay in the choice set increases the probability of choosing the option that dominates the decay.

(Huber, Payne and Puto (1982))

Framing effect about propulting of the second

- Depending on whether outcomes are described as/ framed as positive/negative subjects give different judgements.
- · People are more willing to take risks to avoid losses & have a tendency to avoid risk associated with gains.

(Tversky and Kahneman (1981)).

The tendency to seek out information that confirms pre-existing beliefs.

Watson's four card problem (1968)

- · People are more attentive to the information that can support their expectations.
- They ignore the information that contradicts their previous expectations and this preference for potentially supporting evidence is called confirmation bias.



Suggests that the cause of the heuristic is the subjects fallure to come up with an alternative hypothesis.

y makes subjects act as if they are bying to obtain positive results rather than useful information.

(Techirgi1980)

Ullusory correlations and implicit personality theories. Ullusory correlation is a belief that 2 phenomena are connected when in reality they arep't.

ybelieved to be the mechanism of stereotype formation

(1 am kenyanu?!) Oh, you are cating naan, you must be from India... Implicit personality theories are sets of beliefs that you have about the behaviour of others; you predict their behaviour on the basis of those beliefs.

Chapman and chapman (1969)

The tendency to avoid the mental stress of holding inconsistent cognitions

Theory of cognitive dissonance

cognitive dissonance is the mental stress caused by inconsistency between:

of or more contradictory ideas/ beliefs

o one's action & one's belief.

· new information & existing beliefs.



► Anyone who feels this dissonance feels stressed & Un-- comfortable and is driven by the desire to reduce this dissonance.

How to veduce this dissonance? K Change behaviour Change behaviour Change behaviour



Research Frameworks to study this dissonance

belief disconfirmation paradigm.

induced compliance paradigm



. When new information disconfirms existing beliefs, the belief itself may be twisted.

· Social support may be sought to further support this new belief; if many people believe the same thing, it gets justified.